



# World Scientific News

An International Scientific Journal

WSN 124(2) (2019) 86-93

EISSN 2392-2192

---

---

## Research Design Considerations for Deteriorating Items of Inventory Models

**Karmveer<sup>1</sup> and Ajendra Sharma<sup>2,\*</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Shri Venkateshwara University, Gajraula, U. P., India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, N.A.S. (P.G.) College, Meerut, U. P., India

\*E-mail address: [ajendra28@gmail.com](mailto:ajendra28@gmail.com)

### ABSTRACT

The first category deteriorating items refer to the items that become damages, decayed and lost of its marginal value evaporate, devaluation invalid, degradation and so on through time. The process of deterioration is happened in two categories of items that become damaged spoiled while the other category refer to the items that loss their parts of their total value through time because of the introduction of new technology or the alternatives like fashion and seasonal goods.

**Keywords:** manufacturing cost, setup cost, selling price

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The literature surveys by we shah and shas discuss the up discuss the up to date review on deteriorating inventory model. As on assumption that the buying or manufacturing company cost and its selling price of the item are constant through out all time and only the cost of the inventory management are of concern so in the  $p^{\text{th}}$  period,  $p = 1, 2, 3 \dots n$ .

$d_i$  = amount demanded

$i_p$  = interest charge pr unit of inventory carried forward to period  $p+1$

$s_p$  = ordering cost like as the setup cost  
 $x_p$  = amount ordered like as the manufacturing cost

So by the demands and cost are positive the problem is to find a program which need not be unique.

**2. SOLUTION OF OPTIMIZATION PROBLEM BASED ON INVENTORY COST:**

The method of solving the optimization problem is to enumerate  $3^{N-1}$  combinations of either ordering or not ordering in each period consider  $I$  be the inventory and  $I_0$  be the initial inventory for period  $p$ .

$$I = I_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} d_i \geq 0$$

Becomes the representation of the minimal cost policy for periods  $p$  though period  $N$  then  $I$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Min} \\ f_p(I) = & \begin{matrix} x_p \geq 0 \\ I + x_p \geq d_p \end{matrix} \left[ i_{p-1} I + \delta(x_p) s_p + f_{p+1}(I + x_p - d_p) \right] \end{aligned}$$

In which

$$\delta(x_p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_p > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x_p = 0 \end{cases}$$

although due to period  $N$  we may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Min} \\ f_N(I) = & \begin{matrix} x_N \geq 0 \\ I + x_N \geq d_N \end{matrix} \left[ i_{N-1} I + f(x_N) \right] s_N \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Min} \\ f_{p-1}(N) = & \begin{matrix} x_{p-1} \geq 0 \\ 1 + x_{p-1} = d_{p-1} \end{matrix} \left[ i_{p-1} 1 + \delta(x_{p-1}) \delta_{p-1} + f_p(0) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Min} \\ h_{p-1}(1) = & \begin{matrix} x_{p-1} \geq 0 \\ 1 + x_{p-1} = d_{p-1} \end{matrix} \left[ i_{p-2} 1 + \delta(x_{p-1}) \delta_{p-1} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from equation & we have minimal cost through P

$$F(p) = \min_{1 \leq i < p} \left[ \min \left[ \delta_i + \sum_{g=l}^{p-1} \sum_{k=g+1}^p i_g d_k + F(i-1) \right] \right]$$

Consumes F(N) We shall have solved the problem for N is the lost period to be considered.

### 3. PRODUCTION LEVELS FOR INVENTORY HOLDING COST

This models involves planning horizon with m-equal periods. Each period has a limited production capacity that can include several produce levels with regular and over time representation of two production levels. A current period may produce more than its immediate demand to satisfy demand for later periods in which an inventory holding cost must be charged. So the general description of the model are as follows.

- (a) Absence of setup cost is incurred in any period.
- (b) Shortages is not allowed.
- (c) Unit production cost function in any period either is constant or has increasing marginal cost
- (d) Holding cost in any period is constant.

This assumption requires the cumulative production capacity of periods 1, 2, 3,..... and j to equal at least the cumulative demand for the same inclusive periods. Unit production cost function with increasing margins.

### 4. MATHEMATICAL INDUCTION MODEL FOR REPLENISHMENT RATE

Inventory problems for deteriorating items have been studied extensively be many scientists from day to day. The study of this research area is started with the consideration for deterioration at the end of prescribed storage period where  $\alpha$  be the constant decay in time and I be the inventory level with demand rate at time t.

$$\frac{dI(t)}{dt} + \alpha I(t) = -f(t), 0 \leq t \leq T$$

$$f(t) = a + g(t)$$

$$I(0) = Q, I(T) = 0$$

$$I(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ e^{\alpha(T-t)} \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} gT \right) - \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + gT \right) \right]$$

$$Q = I(0) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ e^{\alpha T} \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} gT \right) - \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ e^{\alpha T} \left( a - \frac{g}{Q} + gT \right) - \left( a - \frac{g}{Q} \right) \right] - \frac{T}{2} (2a + gT)$$

The holding cost for first cycle is given by

$$\int_0^T (a + gt) dt = h \int_0^T I(t) dt$$

where:  $h = ph_p$

$$= \frac{h}{\alpha} \left[ \left( \frac{a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + bT}{\alpha} \right) (e^{\alpha T} - 1) - T \left\{ a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + \frac{gT}{\alpha} \right\} \right]$$

$$\int_0^T (a + g(t)) dt = \frac{h}{\alpha T} \left[ \frac{a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + bT}{\alpha} (e^{\alpha T} - 1) - T \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + \frac{gT}{2} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{pI_p}{\alpha} \left[ \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha} gT \right\} e^{\alpha(T-M)} - 1 \right] - (T-M) \left\{ a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + \frac{g}{2} (T+M) \right\} \right]$$

$$= \frac{pI_p}{\alpha T} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + gT \right) \left\{ e^{\alpha(T-M)} - 1 \right\} - (T-M) \left\{ a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + \frac{g}{2} (T+M) \right\} \right]$$

$$= \frac{pI_e}{T} \int_0^T tf(t) dt = pI_e T \left( \frac{a}{2} + \frac{gT^2}{2} \right)$$

Hence total variable cost per unit time in this step is

$$c_1(T) = \frac{A}{T} + \frac{P}{T} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ e^{\alpha T} \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + gT \right) - \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} \right) \right\} - \frac{T}{2} (2a + gT) \right]$$

$$+ \frac{h}{\alpha T} \left[ \frac{\left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + gT \right)}{\alpha} (e^{\alpha T} - 1) - T \left\{ a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + \frac{gT}{2} \right\} \right] + \frac{pI_p}{\alpha T} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + gT \right) \right]$$

$$\left\{ e^{\alpha(T-M)} - 1 \right\} - (T-M) \left\{ a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + \frac{g}{2}(T+M) \right\} - PI_{pT} \left( \frac{a}{2} + \frac{gT^2}{3} \right)$$

with using  $\frac{dc_1T}{dr} = 0, \frac{d^2c_1(T)}{T^2} = 0$  and  $\frac{dc_1(T)}{dT} = 0$  we obtained

$$2g \propto \left[ p \propto + h + pI_p e^{-M} \right] T^2 e^{\alpha T} + 2 \propto \left[ P \propto \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} \right) + PI_p \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} \right) e^{-\alpha M} \right]$$

$$e^{\alpha T} - \frac{4}{3} PI_p g \propto^2 T^2 - \propto \left[ g \left( h + p \propto \right) + paI_p \right] T^2 + 2 \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} \right)$$

$$\left[ h + p \propto - pI_p \propto M + PI_p \right] - 2 \& \propto^2 - pgI_p \propto M^2 = 0$$

Customers benefits integrates on the sales to the permissible delay period and no interest is payable during this time

$$PI_e \int_0^T (a + gt) dt = PI_e \left( \frac{a}{2} T^2 + \frac{g}{3} T^3 \right)$$

and

$$PI_e (M - T) \int_0^T D(t) dt = PI_e \left( a + \frac{g}{2} T \right) (M - T) T$$

So during the second cycle the total interest earned

$$PI_e \left( \frac{a}{2} T^2 + \frac{g}{3} T^3 \right) + PI_e \left( a + \frac{g}{2} T \right) (M - T) T = PI_e T \left\{ (gM - a) \frac{T}{2} - \frac{1}{6} gT^2 + am \right\}$$

This different types of deterioration and optimal cycle length  $T = T_2$  which optimized  $c_2(T)$  is observed by solving equation using Necoton Raphson method which provided us

$$\frac{d^2c_2(T)}{dT^2} > 0 \quad \text{we obtained}$$

$$q_0(T_2) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ e^{\alpha T_2} \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + gT_2 \right) - \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} \right) \right]$$

and

$$q_0(M) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left[ e^{\alpha M} \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} + gM \right) - \left( a - \frac{g}{\alpha} \right) \right]$$

Introduced damaging rate is exponential and unsatisfied items will completely backlogging and solving this mathematical model applied simulation model.

**5. MODEL SITUATIONS OF INVENTORY CONTINUOUS DEMAND**

In this model we assumed that the supplier must be paid for the items as soon as the items are received however in practice this may not true and usually there is no charge if the outstanding amount is settled within the permitted fixed settlement period so let us assume the random variable  $x$  and  $y$  to be independent so that the joint probability density junction  $p_x, p_y$  let  $C(\text{Im})$  represent the total expected cost per unit obtaining the cost we product it with each other situations therefore the expected cost per unit time.

$$C(LM) = \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}-y} C_1 \left( \text{Im} - y - \frac{x}{2} \right) p_x p_y + \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=\text{Im}-y+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2x} \left[ C_1 (\text{Im} - y)^2 + C_2 (x + y - \text{Im})^2 \right] p_x p_y + \sum_{y=\text{Im}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} c_2 \left[ \frac{x}{2} + y - \text{Im} \right] p_x p_y + A_{xy}$$

How  $C(\text{Im})$  will be minimum if  $\delta c(\text{Im}) > 0 > \delta C(\text{Im}-1)$  where  $\delta C(\text{Im}) = C(\text{Im}+1) - C(\text{Im})$ .

The summation of xy plane divide into parts as

$$\lambda_1(x, y, z) = c_1 \left( \text{Im} - y - \frac{x}{2} \right) p_x p_y + A_{xy}$$

$$\lambda_2(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2x} \left[ c_1 (\text{Im} - y)^2 + c_2 (x + y - \text{Im})^2 \right] p_x p_y + A_{xy}$$

$$\lambda_3(x, y, z) = c_2 \left( \frac{x}{2} + y - \text{Im} \right) p_x p_y + A_{xy}$$

$$b(z) = \text{Im}, C(y, z) = \text{Im} - y \quad \text{and} \quad d(y, z) = \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(CI_m) &= \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}-y} C_1 p_x p_y + \sum_{y=0, x=\text{Im}-y+1}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{m-y+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} \left[ (c_1 + c_2) \left( \text{Im} - y + \frac{1}{2} \right) - c_2 x \right] p_x p_y - \sum_{y=\text{Im}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} c_2 p_x p_y + A_{xy} \\ &+ \sum_{y=0, x=\text{Im}-y+1}^{\infty} \sum_{m-y+1}^{\infty} \left[ c_1 \left( \text{Im} - 1 - y - \frac{x}{2} \right) - \frac{c_1}{2x} (\text{Im} + 1 - y)^2 + \frac{c_2}{2x} (x + y - \text{Im} - 1)^2 \right] p_x p_y \\ &+ \sum_{y=\text{Im}+1}^{\text{Im}+1} \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}-y+1} c_1 \left( \text{Im} + 1 - y - \frac{x}{2} \right) p_x + \sum_{x=\text{Im}-y+2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{c_1}{2x} (\text{Im} + 1 - y)^2 + \right. \\ &\left. \frac{c_2}{2x} (x + y - \text{Im} - 1)^2 \right\} p_x - \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} c_2 \left( \frac{x}{2} + y - \text{Im} - 1 \right) p_x p_y \end{aligned}$$

$$\delta c(\text{Im}) = c_1 \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}-y} p_x p_y + \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=\text{Im}-y+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} \left[ (c_1 + c_2) \left( \text{Im} - y + \frac{1}{2} \right) - c_2 x \right] p_x p_y - c_2$$

$$\sum_{y=\text{Im}+1}^{\infty} \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} p_x p_y$$

$$\delta c(\text{Im}) = c_1 \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}-y} p_x p_y + (c_1 + c_2) \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=\text{Im}-y+2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x} \left( \text{Im} - y + \frac{1}{2} \right) p_x p_y - c_2 \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} p_y$$

$$\left[ \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} p_x - \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}} p_x \right] - c_2 \left[ \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}} p_x p_y - \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} p_x p_y \right]$$

$$\delta^2 c(\text{Im}) = c_1 \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}-y} \delta(p_x p_y) + (c_1 + c_2) \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{x=\text{Im}-y+2}^{\infty} \delta \left\{ \frac{1}{x} \left( \text{Im} - y + \frac{1}{2} \right) p_x p_y \right\}$$

$$-c_2 \delta \left[ \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} \sum_{x=0}^{\text{Im}} p_x p_y - \sum_{y=0}^{\text{Im}} \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} p_x p_y \right]$$

## 6. CONCLUSION

In this chapter we study the inventory model for deteriorating items with linear time dependent demand rate, and proposed an inventory replenishment policy for this type of inventory model. Thus this chapter provides interesting topics for the various further study of such kind of inventory models and thus the two models are equally as costly. If the Newton rapshon method and square root formula had not resulted in the ordering of supply, the costs under the two methods would have been different due to the discrete division of time in this model.

## References

- [1] N.H. Shah and N. Ray Kundaliya, Retailer's pricing and ordering strategy for weibull distribution deterioration under trade credit in declining market. *Applied Mathematical Science* Vol. 4, No. 21, (2010) 1011-1020
- [2] Parlar M. (1985). Optimal Ordering Decisions for Multiple Substitutable Products with Stochastic Demands. In: Bullinger HJ. Warnecke H.J. (eds) *Toward the Factory of the Future*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg
- [3] Parlar, M. and Goyal, S. K., Optimal ordering decisions for two substitutable products with stochastic demands. *OPSEARCH*, 21 (1984) 1–15.

- [4] Panda, S. Saha, S. and Basu, M. (2007). An EOQ model with generalized ramp-type demand and weibull distribution deterioration, *Asia Pacific Journal of Operational Research*, 24 (1), 1-17.
- [5] P.L. Abad, Optimal price and order size for a reseller under partial are backlogging. *Computers and Operations Research*, Vol. 28, No. 1 (2001) 53-65
- [6] Roy, A. An inventory model for deteriorating items with price dependent demand and time varying holding cost. *Advanced Modeling and Optimization* 10(1) (2008) 25-37
- [7] Silver, E. A., Vidal, R. V. V. and de Werra, R., A tutorial on heuristic methods. *European Journal of Operational Research* Volume 5, Issue 3, September 1980, Pages 153-162
- [8] Teoh Sian Hoon, Kor Liew Kee, Parmjit Singh. Learning Mathematics Using Heuristic Approach. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* Volume 90, 10 October 2013, Pages 862-869
- [9] Mei-Ying Cheng, Abhishek Gupta, Yew-Soon Ong, Zhi-Wei Ni. Coevolutionary multitasking for concurrent global optimization: With case studies in complex engineering design. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* Volume 64, September 2017, Pages 13-24