



World Scientific News

An International Scientific Journal

WSN 107 (2018) 201-206

EISSN 2392-2192

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Todorov's formula involving Stirling numbers of the second kind and Nörlund polynomials

A. Zúñiga-Segundo¹, J. López-Bonilla^{2,*}, S. Vidal-Beltrán²

¹ Depto. Física, ESFM, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Edif. 9, Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México

² ESIME-Zacatenco, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Edif. 4, Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México

*E-mail address: jlopezb@ipn.mx

ABSTRACT

We use the Todorov's formula for the generalized Bernoulli numbers, in terms of Stirling numbers of the second kind, to deduce the Guo-Qi and Schläfli identities. Our approach is based in the duality property between the Stirling numbers, and in the Nörlund polynomials. We also consider the Janjic's definitions for the Stirling numbers.

Keywords: Bernoulli numbers, Nörlund polynomials, Stirling numbers, Duality property, Hoppe's formula, Generalized chain rule of differentiation

1. INTRODUCTION

Todorov [1, 2] deduced the relation:

$$B_n^{(z)} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\binom{n+z}{n-k} \binom{z+k-1}{k}}{\binom{n+k}{k}} S_{n+k}^{[k]}, \quad (1)$$

where: $S_r^{[k]}$ are the Stirling numbers of the second kind [2-6], $B_n^{(z)}$ are the generalized Bernoulli numbers or Nörlund polynomials defined via the following generating function [7, 8]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(z)} \frac{x^n}{n!} = \left(\frac{x}{e^x - 1} \right)^z, \tag{2}$$

and $B_n = B_n^{(1)}$ are the Faulhaber [9]-Bernoulli [10] numbers [2-4, 11, 12].

In Sec. 2 we show that (1) and (2) allow obtain the Guo-Qi and Schläfli identities, and also the generating function for $S_r^{[k]}$. In Sec. 3 we exhibit that the definitions of Janjic for the Stirling numbers are consequences of the formulas of Hoppe and Quaintance-Gould [4].

2. NÖRLUND POLYNOMIALS

The expression (1) with $z = 1$ implies the following relation of Guo-Qi [13, 14] involving the Bernoulli and Stirling numbers of the second kind:

$$B_n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{\binom{n+1}{k+1}}{\binom{n+k}{k}} S_{n+k}^{[k]}, \tag{3}$$

this formula (3) also was proved in [4, 15-17].

If in (2) we employ $z = -k$ and apply the relation of Carlitz [8, 18]:

$$B_n^{(-k)} = \frac{1}{\binom{n+k}{k}} S_{n+k}^{[k]}, \tag{4}$$

we obtain the generating function for Stirling numbers [4]:

$$\sum_{m=k}^{\infty} S_m^{[k]} \frac{x^m}{m!} = \frac{1}{k!} (e^x - 1)^k. \tag{5}$$

We know the duality property [4, 19-23]:

$$S_{-n}^{(-N)} = (-1)^{N+n} S_N^{[n]}, \quad S_{-n}^{[-N]} = (-1)^{N+n} S_N^{(n)}, \tag{6}$$

where $S_r^{(k)}$ are the Stirling numbers of the first kind [4, 11, 24]. Then, from (4) and (6):

$$B_k^{(n)} = \frac{1}{\binom{k-n}{-n}} S_{-(n-k)}^{[-n]} = \frac{(-1)^k}{\binom{k-n}{-n}} S_n^{(n-k)}, \tag{7}$$

but $\binom{k-n}{-n} = (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k}$, thus (7) implies the following identity [4, 8]:

$$S_n^{(n-k)} = \binom{n-1}{k} B_k^{(n)}. \tag{8}$$

Now, we apply (1) with $z = -k$, and (4) to deduce:

$$S_{k+m}^{[m]} = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{m+k}{m-j} \binom{m-j-1}{k-j} S_{k+j}^{[j]} = (-1)^k S_{-m}^{(-(k+m))},$$

where we make the change $m \rightarrow -n$ to obtain:

$$S_n^{(n-k)} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{-n+k}{-n-j} \binom{-n-j-1}{k-j} S_{j+k}^{[j]}, \tag{9}$$

but:

$$\binom{-n+k}{-n-j} = \binom{k-n}{k+j}, \quad \binom{-n-j-1}{k-j} = (-1)^{k+j} \binom{k+n}{k-j},$$

then (9) implies the Schläfli's identity [4, 25]:

$$S_n^{(n-k)} = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k-n}{k+j} \binom{k+n}{k-j} S_{j+k}^{[j]}; \tag{10}$$

Gould [4, 26, 27] deduced the inverse of (10):

$$S_n^{[n-k]} = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k-n}{k+j} \binom{k+n}{k-j} S_{j+k}^{(j)}. \tag{11}$$

On the other hand, Choi [28] used the multiple Hurwitz zeta function to obtain the following explicit expression for the generalized Bernoulli polynomials [2]:

$$B_{n+k}^{(n)}(x) = n \binom{n+k}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \frac{B_{j+k+1}(x)}{j+k+1} \sum_{l=j}^{n-1} \binom{l}{j} S_n^{(l+1)} x^{l-j}, \quad n \geq 1, \tag{12}$$

such that $B_r^{(m)}(0) = B_r^{(m)}$, $B_m(x) = B_m^{(1)}(x)$, and the Bernoulli numbers are given by $B_m = B_m(0)$. Therefore, (12) with $x = 0$ implies the relation:

$$B_{n+k}^{(n)} = n \binom{n+k}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{k+r} B_{k+r} S_n^{(r)}, \tag{13}$$

but from (3):

$$B_{n+k}^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+k} (-1)^j \frac{\binom{2n+k}{n+k-j} \binom{n+j-1}{j}}{\binom{n+k+j}{j}} S_{n+k+j}^{[j]}, \tag{14}$$

then (13) and (14) allow to deduce the interesting identity:

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{k+r} B_{k+r} S_n^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n+k} \frac{(-1)^j}{n+j} \binom{2n+k}{n+j} S_{n+k+j}^{[j]}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (15)$$

For example, if $n = 1$ the expression (15) reproduces (3); if $k = 0$ then (15) gives the property:

$$\sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{r} B_r S_n^{(r)} = \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^r}{n+r} \binom{2n}{n+r} S_{n+r}^{[r]}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (16)$$

3. JANJIC'S DEFINITIONS FOR STIRLING NUMBERS

Janjic [29] employs the relations:

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f(u) = \sum_{k=0}^n S_n^{[k]} u^k \frac{d^k}{du^k} f(u), \quad u = e^x, \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f(v) = \frac{1}{x^n} \sum_{k=0}^n S_n^{(k)} \frac{d^k}{dv^k} f(v), \quad v = \ln x, \quad (18)$$

where $f(t)$ is an arbitrary function, to define the Stirling numbers $S_n^{[k]}$ and $S_n^{(k)}$ [4]. Here we show that (17) and (18) are consequences of the formulas of Hoppe [30, 31] and Quaintance-Gould [4], respectively. In fact, into the Hoppe's expression:

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f(u) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \frac{d^k}{du^k} f(u) \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} u^{k-j} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} u^j, \quad (19)$$

we apply $u = e^x$, thus $\frac{d^n}{dx^n} u^j = j^n u^j$, then (17) is immediate by the Euler's result [4]:

$$S_n^{[k]} = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} j^n. \quad (20)$$

We know the property [4]:

$$n! \binom{y}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_n^{(k)} y^k, \quad (21)$$

where we can use $y = \frac{d}{dv}$ to deduce:

$$n! \binom{\frac{d}{dv}}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^n S_n^{(k)} \frac{d^k}{dv^k}, \quad (22)$$

besides, we have the relation [4]:

$$\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f(v) = \frac{n!}{x^n} \binom{d}{n} f(v), \quad v = \ln x, \quad (23)$$

therefore (22) and (23) imply (18). The expressions (17) and (18) are the formulas (8.13) and (12.34) in [4], respectively.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Our procedure shows the usefulness of (1), (2), (4) and (6), that is, of the Todorov's formula, the Nörlund polynomials [generalized Bernoulli numbers], and the Stirling numbers and binomial coefficients with negative indices [duality property]. The application of (6) in (10) and (11) gives the Sun's identities [23, 32] for Stirling numbers of the first and second kind.

References

- [1] P. G. Todorov, Une formule simple explicite des nombres de Bernoulli généralisés, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math* 301 (1985) 665-666.
- [2] H. M. Srivastava, J. Choi, Zeta and q-zeta functions and associated series and integrals, Elsevier, London (2012).
- [3] M. Aigner, A course in enumeration, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2007).
- [4] J. Quaintance, H. W. Gould, Combinatorial identities for Stirling numbers, World Scientific, Singapore (2016).
- [5] V. Barrera-Figueroa, J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez, On Stirling numbers of the second kind, *Prespacetime Journal* 8(5) (2017) 572-574.
- [6] V. Barrera-Figueroa, J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez, S. Vidal-Beltrán, On Stirling numbers, *World Scientific News* 98 (2018) 228-232.
- [7] N. E. Nörlund, Vorlesungen über differenzenrechnung, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1924).
- [8] I. M. Gessel, On Miki's identity for Bernoulli numbers, *J. Number Theory* 110(1) (2005) 75-82.
- [9] J. Faulhaber, *Academiae algebrae*, Ulm, Germany (1631).
- [10] Jakob Bernoulli, *Ars conjectandi*, Thurneysen, Basel (1713) [The art of conjecturing, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore (2005)].
- [11] T. Arakawa, T. Ibukiyama, M. Kaneko, Bernoulli numbers and zeta functions, Springer, Japan (2014).
- [12] A. Iturri, J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez, Harmonic, Stirling, and Bernoulli numbers, *Prespacetime Journal* 8(9) (2017) 1173-1175.
- [13] Bai-Ni Guo, Feng Qi, An explicit formula for Bernoulli numbers in terms of Stirling numbers of the second kind, *J. of Analysis & Number Theory* 3(1) (2015) 27-30.

- [14] J. López-Bonilla, S. Yáñez-San Agustín, A. Zaldívar-Sandoval, On the Guo-Qi formula involving Bernoulli and Stirling numbers, *Prespacetime Journal* 7(12) (2016) 1672-1673.
- [15] Ch. Jordan, *Calculus of finite differences*, Chelsea Pub., New York (1957).
- [16] S. Shirai, K. I. Sato, Some identities involving Bernoulli and Stirling numbers, *J. Number Theory* 90(1) (2001) 130-142.
- [17] S. Jeong, M. S. Kim, J. W. Son, On explicit formulae for Bernoulli numbers and their counterparts in positive characteristic, *J. Number Theory* 113(1) (2005) 53-68.
- [18] L. Carlitz, Note on Nörlund polynomials $B_n^{(z)}$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 11 (1960) 452-455.
- [19] H. Gupta, *Symmetric functions in the theory of integrals numbers*, Lucknow University Studies 14, Allahabad Press (1940).
- [20] I. M. Gessel, R. P. Stanley, Stirling polynomials, *J. of Combinatorial Theory* A24 (1978) 24-33.
- [21] H. Gupta, *Selected topics in number theory*, Tunbridge Wells, Abacus Press, England (1980).
- [22] D. E. Knuth, *Selected papers on Discrete Mathematics*, *CSLI Lecture Notes*, No. 106 (2003).
- [23] J. López-Bonilla, J. Yaljá Montiel-Pérez, S. Vidal-Beltrán, Stirling numbers with negative indices, *World Scientific News* 101 (2018) 217-221.
- [24] A. Iturri, J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez, O. Salas-Torres, Bernoulli and Stirling numbers, *BAOJ Physics* 2(1) (2017) 3-5.
- [25] L. Schläfli, Sur les coefficients du développement du produit $1(1+x)(1+2x)\dots(1+(n-1)x)$ suivant les puissance ascendantes de x , *Crelle's Journal* 43 (1852) 1-22.
- [26] H. W. Gould, Stirling number representation problems, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 11(3) (1960) 447-451.
- [27] J. López-Bonilla, S. Vidal-Beltrán, A. Zúñiga-Segundo, On the Gould's formula for Stirling numbers of the second kind, *MathLAB Journal* 1(2) (2018) to appear.
- [28] J. Choi, Explicit formulas for the Bernoulli polynomials of order n , *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 27 (1996) 667-674.
- [29] M. Janjic, On a non-combinatorial definition of Stirling numbers, arXiv: 0806.2366v1 [math.CO] 14 Jun 2008.
- [30] H. W. Gould, The generalized chain rule of differentiation with historical notes, *Utilitas Mathematica* 61 (2002) 97-106.
- [31] W. P. Johnson, The curious history of Faà di Bruno's formula, *The Math. Assoc. of America* 109 (2002) 217-234.
- [32] Z-H. Sun, Some inversion formulas and formulas for Stirling numbers, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 29(4) (2013) 1087-1100.